To: Danny Flunker and his Band of Heathens

From: Community Members

Subject: Please stop being a bunch of Hypocrites

1) DF - Before criticizing another member for touching camera equipment, you should review the WOWSC video from October 2025. In that video, you can be clearly seen touching and moving another member's video camera.

   The difference is that the member whose equipment you handled did not publicly shame you for it. Yet you have chosen to publicly criticize another member for similar conduct.

   Applying one standard to yourself and a different standard to others undermines your credibility. If touching another member's equipment is unacceptable, then that standard should apply consistently to everyone.

2) DF –Recently, Ms. Nelson politely asked a straightforward question on Nextdoor: Who are the current board and committee members of the WOWSC, ACC, and POA?

   That question could have been answered directly. In fact, since you serve on one of those committees, you were in a position to provide the information. Instead, you chose to redirect the discussion to your concerns about someone touching your camera equipment and the POA Board's handling of road project contract disclosures.

   If transparency is truly the issue, then the standard should be applied consistently.

   Has PF, as WOWSC Board President, publicly released all details related to the water company asset sale? No.Have you publicly criticized or shamed Ms. Flunker for not releasing every document related to that sale? No.

   Yet when it comes to other issues, you demand complete disclosure and publicly criticize those involved.
   The issue is not whether transparency is important—it is. The issue is applying the same standards to everyone. Selective outrage and selective accountability are not transparency; they are hypocrisy.

3) MC - Why haven't you published all the facts, contracts, work orders, and related documents regarding the POA road project? Wasn't it you who publicly berated the former WOWSC Board for not disclosing land sale contracts? Weren't you demanding complete transparency and accountability at that time? In fact, there is video of you expressing your frustration at a WOWSC Board meeting because those documents were not being released.

   Now that you're in a position where disclosure is being questioned, the standard seems to have changed. If complete transparency was the expectation then, why isn't it the expectation now? If residents were entitled to see every detail of the land sale, why shouldn't they be entitled to see every detail of the road project?

   The issue isn't whether transparency matters. The issue is whether the same standard applies to everyone. Demanding openness from others while withholding information yourself is difficult to reconcile and raises legitimate questions about consistency and accountability. You are such a hypocrite

4) MC – Wasn't it you who repeatedly criticized the former WOWSC Board over standby fees and demanded action on the issue? Yet you've now been on the WOWSC Board for more than a year, and what has been done to address those concerns?

   When you were on the outside, you were quick to point out what the Board should be doing. Now that you're on the inside and have had the opportunity to influence policy and decisions, the issue appears to have gone nowhere. It's that fair to ask: What changed?

   If standby fees were important enough to publicly criticize previous board members, then they should be important enough to address now. Holding others accountable is easy. Demonstrating the same accountability when you're in a position of responsibility is what really matters. Residents deserve consistency, not one standard for former board members and another for current one. Again you are a hypocrite.

5) DF – Your Next Door posts make you even more pathetic... You stated "I was this board's (POA) biggest fan. That is, until director Mark Carpenter disabled my camera, and then came the disinformation about the roads, the loans, and everything else. If you're OK with that, have at it. If memory serves, you helped push through the $25,000 board spending cap in 2009". Funny how that mattered back then. Now it seems like as long as it's your friends blowing past the governing

documents, suddenly it's no big deal. Which is it, do the rules matter, or only when it's convenient for you?

DF you should be asking yourself that same question. Do rules and public decency matter at all to DF? Or do you enjoy being the community bully. Go back to the first item..... you have clearly done the same thing! What a hypocrite you are!

And should we publicly comment on your past arrest records, specifically being a convicted felon (criminal case, not a civil case)? Isn't this the main reason why you can't hold a Board seat on either the WOWSC or POA? In addition, you are NOT a property owner within Windermere Oaks or the airport.

And please take down those ridiculous YouTube videos of your work outs. Those videos make you look like a bigger idiot.

6) MC – It is noteworthy to see the public criticism now being directed toward you. Many members may recall instances in previous years when board members and others were criticized for not supporting your preferred agenda. There also appears to be an inconsistency in the standards being applied. As noted in the first bullet point, DF was reportedly observed engaging in the same conduct during a WOWSC board meeting that is now being criticized. If that is accurate, the situation raises questions about fairness and consistency in how behavior is evaluated.

   Board members and members should be held to the same standards, regardless of their position or viewpoint.

7) DF - Why have you not publicly criticized the current WOWSC Board for missed PUC filing deadlines, failure to comply with PUC orders, and what appears to be a complete reversal of the board's position on the CCN?

   Many members have seen you publicly criticize previous boards and board members when they did not align with your views. Yet there seems to be little or no public criticism from you regarding these actions by the current board. Some may question whether your silence is related to the fact that your common-law wife serves as President of the WOWSC Board. The appearance of a double standard raises legitimate concerns.

If board accountability is important, then the same standards should apply regardless of who is serving on the board. Consistency matters, and many members are looking for that consistency now.

8) DF - you have publicly shamed past Windermere's Boards – WOWSC and the POA for not publishing meeting minutes. When was the last time the current WOWSC Board published minutes and/or posted minutes on the website? Why have you not publicly shamed PF for her lack of transparency for not publishing minutes, sale contracts, details on the Madigan Law suite and all the other mismanagements the current WOWSC Board has had? Again, you are such a hypocrite.

9) DF – Lets be truthful here..... you DO NOT own ANY property in Windermere Oaks or the airport, correct? Your common law wife is on the deeds in Windermere Oaks, you are not. Which means YOU are NOT a property owner stop acting like you are.

   And since you are not a property owner you cannot vote in neither election – WOWSC, POA or the AIRPORT. You should not even hold a committee position. Your hypocrisy is causing chaos in the neighborhood which affects the lives of values of property owners. You should remove yourself from ALL POA committees immediately.

10) PF – You were the leading member to intervene with the PUC in the summer of 2024 to stop the CCN application. Fact. 18 months later PF does a complete180 and files with the PUC, IN TOTAL SUPPORT OF THE ORGINAL CCN application! Please don't tell us that all the made-up issues you originally filed have magically been corrected. BS is all we can say to that. Please provide the Membership all supporting documentation to support this miracle! Let's be honest, you made up most of the stuff in your intervening PUC filing. We all know it was based on falsehoods, lies and deceptive information. All of which to promote your agenda and arrogance.

11) PF-why have you not shared with the membership the impact of the Madigan law suit? The Madigan suit has the potential of seeking thousands in damages, which the membership will have to pay for. Did your ego, mismanagement and false pretense make for a false PUC filing in the first place? We all think it did, which could be viewed as criminal, since you published false statements to a government entity.

12) PF – The recent WOWSC billing issues were publicly attributed to the previous operator. However, it is important to remember that Spicewood Utilities was selected by the current WOWSC leadership to replace Sienviro. As a result, responsibility for the transition and its outcomes ultimately rests with those who made that decision.These billing problems raise broader concerns about leadership, oversight, and operational management. They cannot simply be dismissed as a consequence of the pending asset sale. Many members believe that inadequate planning, weak processes, and poor decision-making have contributed to unnecessary costs and disruptions for the membership.

It is also inaccurate to suggest that a single individual was solely responsible for supporting the Rate Reduction Case. The effort involved multiple parties, including licensed legal counsel as required by the Public Utility Commission. The Rate Payers Group reportedly incurred more than $300,000 in legal expenses, which were funded by a WOWSC member who has since been publicly criticized despite providing substantial financial support.

The rate case and subsequent litigation cost the community significant resources. Rather than portraying any one person as the sole champion or defender of WOWSC members, it is important to acknowledge the collective efforts and financial sacrifices made by numerous individuals throughout the process.

Questions also remain regarding the long-term impact of past decisions. Some members believe that actions taken during the rate dispute contributed to increased costs, prolonged litigation, and missed opportunities to resolve matters more efficiently. Others have raised concerns that, had different decisions been made, the community may have avoided certain legal and financial consequences.

It should also be clarified that the case involving WOWSC was a civil matter, not a criminal proceeding. Public discussions should accurately distinguish between civil and criminal cases to avoid confusion. Finally, many members are concerned about the proposed sale of WOWSC assets. Because the buyer is a for-profit corporation with its own operating costs and overhead, residents are understandably asking whether future rate increases may result from the transaction. Members deserve clear, transparent communication regarding the potential financial impact of the sale and the rationale behind it.

At a minimum, the community deserves honest discussion, accountability, and transparency from its leadership. These issues affect not only water service and rates, but also trust within the community and confidence in its future direction.

13) PF – your recent PUC filings have mostly failed. You have missed PUC filing deadlines and misrepresented the WOWSC as a whole. DF why are you not berating PF publicly for the failures at the WOWSC. Again, you are such a hypocrite

14) DF why is it that you cannot hold a Board position within the WOWSC or the POA? One reason is you ARE NOT a property owner, and the second is because of your past. You should be removed from all committees, and the membership should not look to you as their advocate.

We need investments in your community. WO's front entrance improvements with the decorative metal panels don't improve property values – road improvements would. But then again you are not a property owner! At least MC got that one right.

These are just a few examples of what many members view as a troubling pattern of inconsistency, selective narratives, and incomplete presentations of the facts by certain community leaders and supporters. It is time for members to critically evaluate the claims being made and hold individuals accountable for the accuracy of their statements and representations.

The recent appointment of JF to the WOWSC Board has raised additional concerns among some members. Critics question whether this appointment will bring new ideas, independent thinking, or meaningful solutions to the challenges facing the community. Instead, there is concern that the board will continue to operate with the same perspectives and approaches that many residents believe have contributed to ongoing controversy and division.

Questions also remain regarding statements made about the financial benefits expected from the WOWSC asset sale. Some supporters of the transaction have suggested that members could receive substantial financial distributions if the sale is approved. If those projections do not materialize, members deserve an explanation regarding the basis for those claims and whether the expectations presented to the community were realistic and supported by evidence.

Before asking members to vote on a transaction of this magnitude, community leaders should provide clear documentation, realistic financial projections, and transparent disclosures regarding both the potential benefits and risks. Members should be able to

make informed decisions based on facts rather than assumptions, promises, or campaign-style messaging.

Finally, while some individuals have received recognition for their communications, videos, or public advocacy efforts, it is important to remember that such recognition reflects the views of those who offered it and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions of the entire community. The membership is diverse, and many residents hold differing perspectives on the decisions, actions, and leadership that have shaped the current situation.

At the end of the day, members deserve transparency, accountability, and honest communication. Important decisions affecting water rates, community finances, and property values should be evaluated on the facts, not on personalities, political alliances, or competing narratives.

Name Legend

DF – Danny Flunker

PF – Patti Flunker

MC – Mark Carpenter

JF – Josie Fuller